

Setting the stage for lecture listening: how representative are EAP coursebooks?

Katrien Deroey



University of Luxembourg
Language Centre (ULLC)

Cite as: Deroey, Katrien L. B. *Setting the stage for lecture listening: how representative are EAP coursebooks?* Norwegian Forum for English for Academic Purposes Conference, Oslo Metropolitan University (online), 3-4 June 2021

Although teachers may be under the impression that a textbook is the product of a **careful collaboration** between theoreticians and practitioners, this is a **dubious assumption.**

(Richards, 1993, cited in Harwood, 2005, p. 150)

The evidence suggests that textbook authors are **not** yet habitually checking their materials against relevant **corpus data** to ensure that the language models they provide are as naturalistic and pedagogically useful as possible.

(Gilmore, 2015, p. 517)

How listening coursebooks may **not** be 'setting the stage'

[...] most EAP listening programs are based upon commercial textbooks. The downside of this is that these textbooks tend to **present the structure and language of the lectures as simply organized and transparently coherent. Actual lectures**, however, are a **much less tidy** form of discourse.

(Rodgers & Webb, 2016, p. 171)

so the only thing what i would like you to know and to remember and i will ask on the exam of course what is this GSM GSM coordinate system (ELFA)

This talk draws on three studies

Importance marking in 160 lectures by L1 English speakers (BASE):
Deroey (2015); Deroey & Taverniers (2012)

‘**Lexicogrammatical** devices that overtly mark the importance [...] of **points** that are presented **verbally** or **visually**.’ (Deroey, 2015, p. 52)

✓ **the most important thing to b bear in mind** throughout the lecture really is pest is a human definition

✗ **the first thing** i want to do today is to is to formally er try and explain what the connection is

✗ mass warfare which is obviously such **an important thing** in the nineteenth century

This talk draws on three studies

Importance marking in 160 L1 lectures (BASE):
Deroey (2015); Deroey & Taverniers (2012)

Importance marking in 20 EMI lectures (ELFA): Deroey (2014)

Lecture listening coursebooks: Deroey (2018). **The representativeness of lecture listening coursebooks: language, lectures, research-informedness.** *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 34, 57-67.

Importance marking in 'real' lectures

Deroey (2014, 2015); Deroey & Taveniers (2012)

Classification: lexical core (L1)

(Deroey & Taverniers, 2012)

Core (& number of subtypes)	Pattern example	Illustration
Noun (6)	MN v-link	<i>the point is</i>
Verb (6)	V nom/clause	<i>and remember that ...</i>
Adjective (5)	deic v-link ADJ	<i>this is absolutely crucial</i>
Adverb	ADV	<i>importantly</i>
Assessment reference	-	<i>it's something we can sort of ask exam questions on</i>

Classification: interactive orientation

(Deroy, 2015)

Participant

Listener

pay attention to this

Speaker

i want to **emphasise**

Joint

i ask **you** to **bear in mind**

Content

the **point** is

this is an **essential point**

L1 \approx EMI

Extent to which importance is marked

Variety of lexicogrammatical patterns of markers

Content-oriented markers: most frequent

EMI marking was less listener-oriented

	BASE: L1 (N=782)	ELFA: EMI (N=83)
Content <i>the point is; this is an essential point</i>	± 46%	± 73%
Listener <i>pay attention to this particular vesicle you have to remember</i>	± 39%	± 11%
Speaker <i>i want to emphasise</i>	± 10%	± 13%
Joint <i>i ask you to bear in mind</i>	± 5%	± 2%

Content orientation: L1 vs EMI

Multifunctional idiomatic 'metanoun + v-link'

the point is that people can't do that (BASE)

One of two predominant markers in L1

L1 > EMI ($\pm 45\%$ vs $\pm 10\%$)

Markers with deictics

that's the point (ELFA)

Potential issue with referent assignment

L1 < EMI ($\pm 17\%$ vs $\pm 36\%$)

Adjectival modification

that's the main idea (ELFA)

Explicit marking

L1 < EMI ($\pm 19\%$ vs $\pm 51\%$)

Listener orientation: L1 vs EMI

	BASE: L1 (N=304)	ELFA: EMI (N=9)
remember ... (BASE)	± 86%	± 56%
you must remember ...(ELFA)	± 13%	± 44%

Multifunctional imperatives: second most popular marker in L1

Explicit directives with *you*: EMI > L1

Importance marking in coursebooks

Deroey (2018)

Coursebooks analysed

1. *Academic listening strategies* (Salehzadeh) (US)
2. *Cambridge academic English* (all levels)
3. *Contemporary topics* (all levels) (2017)
4. *EASE volume one: Listening to lectures* (Kelly et al)
5. *English for academic study* (Campbell & Smith)
6. *Four point* (level 2) (Parrish) (US)
7. *LEAP advanced* (Beatty)
8. *Lecture ready* (all levels) (Sarosy & Sherak)
9. *Lectures* (Aish & Tomlinson)
10. *Oxford EAP* (all levels)
11. *Study listening* (Lynch)
12. *Unlock* (all levels)

L1 authentic markers vs textbook markers

L1

- Great variety
- Preference for: less explicit, multifunctional markers → require identification training
- Less common: markers signalling speaker's intent to highlight, addressing listeners explicitly, containing importance adjectives

Coursebooks

- Limited & apparently random presentation
- Commonly listing of points or evaluation of 'real world' entities
- Preference for explicit markers

Lots of exercises on identifying main ideas;
little language to guide identification thereof

WATCH the lecture

A THINK ABOUT IT You are about to watch the unit lecture on success in the global economy. What characteristics are most important for a person to have in order to succeed in business?

B LISTEN FOR MAIN IDEAS Close your book. Watch the lecture and take notes.



Contemporary topics (2)

Explicit markers predominate

Lecture language: Importance markers

One vital listening skill is the ability to recognise and exploit the importance markers with which lecturers underline or emphasise points in their argument. They can do so in any of three ways.

1 By speaking about the subject matter itself

The central problem is that ...
A(n) basic point
One essential fact
Another key issue is the ...
(etc.) crucial difference
(etc.) (etc.)

2 By speaking to the audience

It's important to bear in mind that ...
(etc.)
It's worth(while) ...ing that ...
Remember that ...
Don't forget that ...
You shouldn't lose sight of the fact that ...

3 By speaking about themselves

I want to stress/emphasise/underline ...
My point is ...
What I'm getting at is ...

Study listening, p. 39

Coursebooks versus lectures: top 5

Type (coursebooks)	N (52) %	Type (L1 lectures)	N (782) %
The important point is	±21	Remember/notice/note	±34
I want to stress	±13	The point/question is	±21
Remember	±11	I want to emphasize	±9
You have to remember	±11	The important/key point/thing is	±8
It's important to note	±10	You have to remember	±5

How coursebooks are not 'setting the stage'

→ Few importance markers

→ Mismatch with common markers in real lectures = poor preparation

→ Less 'clear' markers that require most training not often included

Why does this mismatch exist?

Deroey (2018)

Applied linguistics **researchers** often energetically pursue their own narrow fields of interest with minimal concern for the accessibility [...] to other stakeholders [...]

language teachers are rarely encouraged (or able) to keep up to date with theoretical advances [...]

materials writers seem to rely more on replication of previous successful models, [...] and their own creative muses than theory-driven, principled design criteria [...]

publishers appear to show more concern for their bottom dollar than the provision of innovative textbooks, in tune with contemporary theory [...]

Gilmore (2015, p. 521)

Pedagogical recommendations

Deroey (2018)

- Supplement: representative, authentic lectures (local/disciplinary)
- Research language (BASE, ELFA, MICASE)
- **Be a critical user: inspect and object!**

References

- Deroey, K. L. B. (2014) *Importance marking in lectures by native and non-native speakers*. IVACS (Inter-Varietal Applied Corpus Studies) International Conference, 19-21 June, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK.
- Deroey, K. L. B. (2015). Marking importance in lectures: interactive and textual orientation. *Applied Linguistics*, 36(1), 51-72.
- Deroey, K. L. B. (2018). The representativeness of lecture listening coursebooks: language, lectures, research-informedness. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 34, 57-67. doi:10.1016/j.jeap.2018.03.011
- Deroey, K. L. B., & Taverniers, M. (2012). Just remember this: Lexicogrammatical relevance markers in lectures. *English for Specific Purposes*, 31(4), 221-233.
- Harwood, N. (2005). What do we want EAP teaching materials for? *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 4(2), 149-161.
- Gilmore, A. (2015). Research into practice: The influence of discourse studies on language descriptions and task design in published ELT materials. *Language Teaching*, 48(04), 506-530.
- Rodgers, M. P. H., & Webb, S. (2016). Listening to lectures. In K. Hyland & P. Shaw (Eds.), *The Routledge handbook of English for academic purposes* (pp. 165-176). London: Routledge.

Acknowledgements

ELFA 2008. The Corpus of English as a Lingua Franca in Academic Settings. Director: Anna Mauranen.
<http://www.helsinki.fi/elfa/elfacorpus>

The recordings and transcriptions used in this study come from the British Academic Spoken English (BASE) corpus. The corpus was developed at the Universities of Warwick and Reading under the directorship of Hilary Nesi and Paul Thompson. Corpus development was assisted by funding from BALEAP, EURALEX, the British Academy and the Arts and Humanities Research Council.